09/9	lication No.	Applicant(s)
Exa	36,588	XU ET AL. Art Unit
	aminer	Artollit
Terr	A. McKelvey	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR Forewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or otherwith the Office of ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and I	REMAINS) CLOSED in the appropriate commu This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. The
. This communication is responsive to the reply filed 9/7/04.		
. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>55-72</u> .		
. X The drawings filed on 13 September 2001 are accepted by the E	xaminer.	
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	received. received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives rea		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be s (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's F 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date 		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Ame Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the heat	should be written on t	ne drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the hea	should be written on to der according to 37 CF BIOLOGICAL MATI	R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the heat. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of	should be written on to der according to 37 CF BIOLOGICAL MATI	R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the heat. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of	should be written on the der according to 37 CF BIOLOGICAL MATION OF BIO	R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the heat. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR attachment(s) Light Street Street (PTO-892)	should be written on the der according to 37 CF BIOLOGICAL MATE THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL MATER	R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL. Formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the heat. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR attachment(s)	should be written on the der according to 37 CF BIOLOGICAL MATION THE DEPOSIT OF BIO 5. □ Notice of In 6. □ Interview So Paper No. 7. ☑ Examiner's	R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL. Formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413),

Art Unit: 1636

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Harriet Strimpel on 9/27/04.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the specification:

At page 1, line 4, the following has been inserted:

-- This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/135,677, filed May 24, 1999. --

Examiner's Note Concerning Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 55-72 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 10/377,134. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 55-72 are drawn to the methods of claims 1-26 of

Art Unit: 1636

'134 are combined together into the independent claims 1-2, specifically, the limitations of claim 3 (drawn to plants), and claim 12 (drawn to nucleus and chloroplast), and the remaining claims depend on the base claims adding limitations that are essentially identical between the instant application and '134. It would have been obvious to make any method made from any combination of the limitations of claims 1-26 because the claim limitations are drawn to different alternatives of the claimed method and thus are obvious in any combination, including the specific combination of limitations of instant claims 55-72 drawn to the same type of invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Because the instant "provisional" double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in this application, the rejection has been withdrawn as per MPEP 822.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Art Unit: 1636

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or objections in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

Art Unit: 1636

be directed to Terry A. McKelvey whose telephone number is (571)

272-0775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, except for Wednesdays, from about 7:30 AM to about 6:00 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to his office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Jeny a McKelvey, Ph.D.

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636

September 27, 2004